


UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



**IN RE: MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT,  
INC., TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION  
ACT (TCPA) LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2286

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

11MD2286-MMA-MDD

**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -20)**

On October 11, 2011, the Panel transferred 2 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* 818 F.Supp.2d 1377 (J.P.M.L. 2011). Since that time, 32 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Michael M. Anello.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of California and assigned to Judge Anello.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Southern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of October 11, 2011, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Michael M. Anello.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.
<b>Mar 12, 2014</b>
CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby attest and certify on 3/12/14  
That the foregoing document is a full, true and correct  
copy of the original on file in my office and in my legal  
custody.

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

By Deputy

**IN RE: MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT,  
INC., TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION  
ACT (TCPA) LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2286

**SCHEDULE CTO-20 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<b><u>DIST</u></b>	<b><u>DIV.</u></b>	<b><u>C.A.NO.</u></b>	<b><u>CASE CAPTION</u></b>
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL			
CAC	2	14-01330	Nicole Clavesilla et al v. Midland Credit Management, Inc
FLORIDA MIDDLE			
FLM	2	14-00107	Raulerson v. Midland Credit Management, Inc.
PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE			
<del>PAM</del>	<del>3</del>	<del>14-00230</del>	<del>Linko v. Midland Credit Management, Inc. et al</del> Opposed 3/12/14
SOUTH CAROLINA			
SC	0	14-00161	Cammon v. Midland Credit Management Inc
TEXAS EASTERN			
TXE	4	14-00060	Barnett v. Midland Credit Management, Inc.